

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

Capital One, National Association, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:17-cv-00604-RFB-BNW

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 394] of the Honorable Brenda Weksler, United States Magistrate Judge, entered September 14, 2021.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by December 22, 2021. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

...

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 394]
2 is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that that ECF No. 361 is DENIED.

4
5 DATED: January 27, 2022.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'RFB', written over a horizontal line.

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
United States District Judge